

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,964	03/25/2004	Xiang-Jin Meng	AM100878-P1 7042	
7590 02/16/2006			EXAMINER	
Anne M. Rosenblum, Esq.			CHEN, STACY BROWN	
163 Delaware Avenue - Suite 212 Delmar, NY 12054			ART UNIT PA	
			1648	

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/808,964	MENG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stacy B. Chen	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 De	ecember 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,15,16 and 18-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 32</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>4-10,15,16 and 18-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	7.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on July 22, 2005 is/are: a)	igtie accepted or b) $igsqcup$ objected to l	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		atent Application (PTO-152)				
- N- /	•					

Application/Control Number: 10/808,964 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

1. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to examiner Stacy Chen, Group Art Unit 1648.

2. Applicant's amendment filed December 7, 2005 is acknowledged and entered. Claims 1-10, 15, 16 and 18-32 are pending. Claims 23-31 remain withdrawn from consideration, being drawn to non-elected subject matter. Claims 11-14 and 17 have been cancelled. Claims 1-10, 15, 16, 18-22 and 32 remain under examination.

Oath/Declaration

3. The oath or declaration filed August 16, 2004 is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02. The oath or declaration is defective because non-initialed and/or non-dated alterations have been made to Xiang-Jin Meng's country of citizenship. While the alteration of citizenship was initialed, it was not dated, as required by 37 CFR 1.52(c).

Response to Amendment

- 4. The following rejections are withdrawn:
 - The provisional double patenting rejection of claims 1-4, 6-10, 15(a-c), 18-22 and 32 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-9, 13(a-c), 14-18 and 25 of co-

pending USSN 10/314,512, is <u>withdrawn</u> in view of Applicant's amendment to the claim(s) of the co-pending application.

- The rejection of claims 7 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirements (specifically the ATCC deposit PTA-3912), is withdrawn in view of the statement provided by the attorney of record that PTA-3912 has been deposited in the ATCC on 12/7/01 under the terms of the Budapest treaty and that all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent.
- The rejection of claims 15(a-c), 16 and 18-22 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification fails to enable the full scope of the claims, is withdrawn.

 Previously, examiner Foley interpreted the claims to encompass methods of treating and protecting. However, as Applicant points out, the claims are limited to protection and do not encompass treatment. Further, claim 15(c), is limited to a chimeric porcine circovirus derived from a chimeric nucleic acid molecule of PCV1-2.
- The rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, is withdrawn in view of Applicant's persuasive arguments. The specification clearly describes the claimed mutations (page 19, line 21 to page 20, line 2).
- The rejection of claims 1-3 and 32 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Allan et al. (US 6,217,883) in view of Caggana et al. (J. Virology, 1993), Lustig et al. (J. Virology, 1988) and Mahé et al. (J. Gen. Virology, 2000), is withdrawn in view of

Art Unit: 1648

Applicant's persuasive arguments. The combination of references lacks motivation to make chimeric PCV1-2 constructs. While Caggana *et al.* (*J. Virology*, 1993) and Lustig *et al.* teach chimeric constructs, the viruses used are not related to PCV types 1 and 2 in such a way that the instantly claimed chimeric constructs would be *prima* facie obvious.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

(New Rejection) Claims 4-10, 15, 16 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 and dependent claims recite, "wherein the chimeric nucleic acid molecule has a nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2, its complementary strand", etc. It is unclear whether Applicant intends to claim the full-length SEQ ID NO: 2, because the language implies that fragments of SEQ ID NO: 2 could be encompassed. If Applicant intends to reference the entire SEQ ID NO: 2 (and a full-length complement), suggested language is, "wherein the chimeric nucleic acid molecule comprises the nucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2, its complementary strand", etc. Clarification and/or correction is required to overcome this rejection.

Claim 10 recites, "wherein the cells containing the chimeric nucleic acid molecule are contained in or derived from a plasmid having ATCC Patent Deposit Designation PTA-3912."

Art Unit: 1648

Claim 15 and dependent claims recite, "an avirulent, infectious chimeric porcine circovirus derived from a chimeric nucleic acid molecule of PCV1-2." The term in question is "derived" because the structure of the derived products is indefinite. It is unclear what is retained from the source of derivation in the derived product. Without this knowledge, the metes and bounds of the claims cannot be determined. It is suggested that removal of reference to derivation be removed from the claims in order to overcome this rejection.

6. (New Rejection) Claims 4-10, 15, 16 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. One embodiment of the claims is drawn to a chimeric nucleic acid molecule (PCV1-2 construct) having at least 95% homology to the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2. This embodiment encompasses a large genus for which Applicant has not adequately demonstrated possession.

To provide adequate written description and evidence of possession of a claimed genus, the specification must provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the genus. The factors to be considered include disclosure of complete or partial structure, physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, methods of making the claimed product, or any combination thereof. In this case, the only factor present in the claim is a partial structure in the form of a recitation of percent identity (95%). There is not even identification of any particular portion of the structure that must be conserved. The specification

Art Unit: 1648

does not provide examples of homologous nucleic acid molecules that are suitable for the invention. Without this knowledge, one of skill in the art would not be put in possession of the full scope of the claimed invention. SEQ ID NO: 2 has 1,773 nucleotides. If one were to substitute, delete or add any nucleotides along the 1,773 nucleotide sequence, the possibilities would be enormous. Applicant's disclosure fails to account for the large genus of polynucleotide molecules encompassed by the claim language, 95% homology. Accordingly, in the absence of sufficient recitation of distinguishing identifying characteristics, the specification does not provide adequate written description of the claimed genus.

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116). As discussed above, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed genus of polypeptides, and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of isolating it. The compound itself is required. See Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016. One cannot describe what one has not conceived.

Art Unit: 1648

Conclusion

7. Claims 1-3 and 32 are free of the prior art of record. In view of the new grounds of rejection of the remaining elected claims, this Office action is non-final. Any inconvenience to Applicant is regretted. The following reference is cited of interest but not relied upon:

Audonnet *et al.* (US 6,943,152 B1, priority to June 10, 1999) teaches PCV DNA vaccines. The construct suggested is one plasmid encoding PCV-1 ORFs 1 and/or 2; and a separate plasmid encoding PCV-2 ORFs 1 and/or 2. While the plasmid may be administered concurrently, there is no suggestion to combine the two into a chimeric PCV1-2 construct.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacy B. Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-0896. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James C. Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Stacy B. Che.

February 15, 2006